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Abstract: The shift from the industrial economy to the knowledge economy and Innovation brings some consequences. One is a model of strategic management for an organization. These changes are essential for the company in order to achieve a balance between internal and external environmental dynamics. Resulting a strategy being able to answer the changing environment, therefore an organization sustainable competitive advantage can be achieved. By using the study of literature, this paper focus on the discussion of strategic management models relevant to the presence of knowledge and innovation economy era. The results showed that the model developed by Davenport, et.al (2006) is particularly relevant. On the other hand, this study is still conceptual, so the authors hope for other researchers, to take this theme with more empirical studies.
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1. Introduction

We already have experienced in Knowledge-networked innovation economy era. Eight drivers of the transition to a knowledge-networked innovation economy era listed below: new global infrastructure for wealth creation, new source of value, new ownership of wealth, new educational models and institutions, new business models, empowered customers, leveraging of global supply and demand chains, and new governance structures.¹ Eight drivers of the innovation economy makes we believe that the global economy has passed a "tipping point" in the transition from an industrial, goods -centered to an innovation, service centered logic. Figure 1 illustrate the major differences in features between the industrial economy and the innovation economy.²

In line with the condition, The critical strategic management challenge in the knowledge-networked innovation economy era now becomes how organization can continually adapt, shape, change, innovate, create and network to survive and prosper in global market environments that are quickly becoming more unpredictable, with organizations that have become more virtual, mobile, and porous,
with technologies that are becoming revolutionary and integrative, and with people that are more independent, knowledgeable, assertive, and mobile.\textsuperscript{3}

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.** From The Industrial Economy to the Innovation Economy.

Reality shows that not all of the organization, able to respond to the dynamics above, there are some organizations actually rolled by these changes. Against this reality, the study of strategic management, providing an indication that the differences in performance among organizations can be explained not only by the structure of the industry is generated by analyzing the industry / market-based view, but can also be explained by differences in wealth and enterprise resource / resource-based view. Armed with resources that belongs to each organization, an organization has the capability that is generally shaped operational capabilities that are used to perform daily activities of the company in order to build a sustainable competitive advantage, through the creation of goods / services quality.\textsuperscript{4}

However, the presence of a new era at above, has consequences that the capability of the organization which runs every day, does not guarantee a company is able to win the competition. Changes in the dynamic environment has brought the consequence, that the success of the company, is more due to the company's ability to create dynamic capability which is characterized by the ability of maintaining the company's capabilities in accordance with the dynamics environment through a learning process that is systematic and ongoing. But more important those of all is the use of a new model of strategic management which more able to answer the strategic design in the presence of the knowledge era. Because of market-based view and the resource based view (dynamic capabilities), are part of a strategic management models.\textsuperscript{5}

2. Literature Review

2.1 Strategic Management in the Knowledge and Networked Innovation Era.

In discussing strategic management model at knowledge and innovation networked era, can be more interesting if we search on the roots of historical strategic management development. On the other hand, studies explore strategic management cannot be separated from the study of the organization, since both are in the same epistemology dimension.. In terms of both discusses the activities and the dynamics
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group of people cooperation which organized to achieve the desired goal, so it could be seen as a social phenomenon. An understanding of the social phenomena, with the expectation that the dynamics group cooperation can create organizational effectiveness by promoting the principle of efficiency and effectivity (axiological). Therefore, tracing the history of strategic management, certainly rooted / integral to the study of the organization, which eventually led to the modern approach to strategic management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Abridge History Strategic Management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominant Themes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic Concept, tools &amp; Technique</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Departing from philosophical arguments above, brings us to the traditional organizational studies. So study of organizational and strategic management cannot be separated from the ideas of Adam Smith, FW Taylor, Henry Fayol, Herbert Simon, Ralph Davis, Elto Mayo, McGregor, Max Webber and other researchers. However, related to the development of organization and management study which is based on the researchers study above, Stephen P Robbins reiterated that the era of management science which tends mechanistic led by FW Taylor, and was followed by the era of behavioral led by Elto Mayo through Hawthorne study that tend humanist, ultimately leading to the thesis and antithesis discussion that is both ultimately gave birth to the modern approach as a synthesis which provide better guidelines for managers.

Three approaches that exist in the modern approach are (i).General system theory,(ii). Socio-technical systems theory and (iii). Contingency theory. Among the three theories above, contingency approach, is an approach that most popular, because it explained the importance of perspective to examine important relationships of an organization with its environment, and the necessity of an organization to adapt to the changing environment if it wants to survive. In line with the above discussion, which the development of strategic management paradigm requires a company to be able to look at the relationship - a relationship that is important from an organization with a dynamic environment. Male & Guilen explain the historical development of strategic management.
In response to the importance of contingency approach above, Thomas H Davenport developed a strategic management models that can accommodate more modern approach by observing the environmental dynamics. (Table 3). Davenport holistic strategy process is contrasted with the traditional strategy process. The holistic strategy process is not a hierarchical or mechanistic step by step process, but a dynamic and integrated process. The change becomes a permanent thing (integrated throughout) in this process. Furthermore, Davenport said that the tools for strategic management are not poised separately discussed or isolated, but in this context, a particular strategy required suspended process. This discussion also illustrated the necessity for a holistic approach to the process and tools, and analytical and beyond "mechanistic" approach.

### 3. Conclusion

The strategic management development history leads us to the central themes of the importance of knowledge, learning and innovation. By placing the organization as a living organism, in which an organization is defined as an organic integration conceptualized as something that is intact / together in a gesture that can transcend time, space and organizational boundaries to create knowledge.

This theory also confirmed the rejection of the traditional view that considers the organization in the position of static and passive, and tend to be mechanistic. And make the organization failed to capture the dynamic process, interaction with members and their environment within the framework. The traditional view of the organization also put an organization only as an information processing machine which served as the "composer" and executed tasks assigned. Organization only a "machine" taker and a processing information from the environment to solve problems and adapt to the environment based on predetermined goals.  

In another dimension, there is a reality where there is always a potential bounded rationality in human beings (decision-makers in the organization), which is caused by lack of information / asymmetric information, organizations need to understand the reality that is very complex. Reality must be made into some simple piece of information for a person can process it. Then the information is proceed and
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assembled by members of the organization, so at the end the organization understand overall the complex reality.¹¹

The Strategic management models proposed by Davenport above, emphasize it's time to leave organization traditional models and move to a model that more meet the challenges in an Knowledge Economy Era and Networked Innovation. Hopefully this short paper can be inspiring people to understand the compelling reason for implementing this model, and it will emerge more empirical studies in terms of developing alternative new models.
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